

Locally compact quantum groups

4. Locally compact quantum groups, amenability, cohomological properties

Matthew Daws

Leeds

Fields, May 2014

Locally compact quantum groups

Definition (Kustermans, Vaes)

A locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} is a Hopf von Neumann algebra (M, Δ) with invariant weights φ, ψ

$$(\text{id} \otimes \varphi)\Delta(x) = \varphi(x)1, \quad (\psi \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x) = \psi(x)1.$$

- Means e.g. that if $x \in M^+$ with $\varphi(x) < \infty$, and $\omega \in M_*^+$, then $\varphi((\omega \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x)) = \varphi(x)\langle 1, \omega \rangle$.
- Write $M = L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$; let $L^2(\mathbb{G})$ be the GNS space of φ .
- Let $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi = \{x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) : \varphi(x^*x) < \infty\}$ and $\Lambda : \mathfrak{n}_\varphi \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{G})$ be the GNS map: $(\Lambda(x)|\Lambda(y)) = \varphi(y^*x)$.

Constructions

- Define W^* on $L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{G})$, as before, by

$$W^*(\Lambda(a) \otimes \Lambda(b)) = (\Lambda \otimes \Lambda)(\Delta(b)(a \otimes 1)).$$

- φ (left-)invariant implies W^* is an isometry.
- More subtle argument using ψ shows W is unitary.
- W is a corepresentation, $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})(W) = W_{13}W_{23}$.
- $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$ for $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.
- $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is the weak*-closure of $\{(\text{id} \otimes \omega)(W) : \omega \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{G}))_*\}$.
- There is an unbounded antipode S defined by/ which satisfies

$$S((\text{id} \otimes \omega)(W)) = (\text{id} \otimes \omega)(W^*), \quad S(S(x)^*)^* = x \quad (x \in D(S)).$$

- Decompose S as $S = R\tau_{-i/2}$ where R is an anti- $*$ -isomorphism and (τ_t) a continuous one-parameter group.

Duality

$$L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \{(\omega \otimes \text{id})(W) : \omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})\}''$$

- W is multiplicative; $\widehat{W} = \sigma W^* \sigma$, $\widehat{\Delta}(x) = \widehat{W}^*(1 \otimes x)\widehat{W}$.
- $W \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$.
- Can construct invariant weights $\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\psi}$ so that $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ becomes a locally compact quantum group.
- Same duality interactions: e.g. $\widehat{J}x^*\widehat{J} = R(x)$ for $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.
- $\widehat{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} = \mathbb{G}$ canonically.
- Becomes a category (Ng, and Meyer–Roy–Woronowicz).

C^* -algebra considerations

$$C_0(\mathbb{G}) = \{(\text{id} \otimes \omega)(W) : \omega \in L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})\}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

- This is a C^* -algebra, and $R, (\tau_t)$ restrict to it, and S becomes a norm-closed operator.
- The weights restrict to densely defined, faithful, KMS weights.
- $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ satisfies the cancellation laws.
- Can analogously axiomatise a C^* -algebraic version of the theory.
- This is a “reduced” theory: $C_r^*(G)$ is the cocommutative example.
- There is a procedure to form the “full” or “universal” C^* -completion, leading to $C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$: everything holds, but weights are no longer faithful.

Coamenability

Definition

\mathbb{G} is *coamenable* if $C_0(\mathbb{G})^*$ is a unital Banach algebra.

Theorem

The following are equivalent to \mathbb{G} being coamenable:

- 1 $L^1(\mathbb{G})$ has a bounded approximate identity.
- 2 there is a net of unit vectors (ξ_i) with $\|W(\xi_i \otimes \xi) - \xi_i \otimes \xi\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $\xi \in H$.
- 3 $C_0(\mathbb{G}) = C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$.

Sketch proof of (2) \Rightarrow (1).

For $\omega_{\xi, \eta} \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$ and $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x, \omega_{\xi_i, \xi_i} \star \omega_{\xi, \eta} \rangle &= \langle W^*(1 \otimes x)W, \omega_{\xi_i, \xi_i} \otimes \omega_{\xi, \eta} \rangle = \langle (1 \otimes x)W(\xi_i \otimes \xi) | W(\xi_i \otimes \eta) \rangle \\ &\approx \langle (1 \otimes x)(\xi_i \otimes \xi) | \xi_i \otimes \eta \rangle = \langle x\xi | \eta \rangle = \langle x, \omega_{\xi, \eta} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Amenability

Definition

\mathbb{G} is *amenable* if there is a state $M \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})^*$ with $(\text{id} \otimes M)\Delta(x) = \langle M, x \rangle 1$ for $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.

Theorem

$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ *coamenable* implies that \mathbb{G} is amenable.

Proof.

If $\|\widehat{W}(\xi_i \otimes \xi) - \xi_i \otimes \xi\| \rightarrow 0$ then W unitary, $\widehat{W} = \sigma W^* \sigma$ implies $\|W(\xi \otimes \xi_i) - \xi \otimes \xi_i\| \rightarrow 0$. If M is a weak*-limit point of the net (ω_{ξ_i, ξ_i}) in $L^1(\mathbb{G})$ then for $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$,

$$\langle (\text{id} \otimes M)\Delta(x), \omega_{\xi, \eta} \rangle = \lim_i \langle W^*(1 \otimes x)W, \omega_{\xi, \eta} \otimes \omega_{\xi_i, \xi_i} \rangle = \cdots = \langle M, x \rangle \langle 1, \omega_{\xi, \eta} \rangle.$$

How do you “reverse” the argument? □

See Bédos–Tuset, Int. J. Math, 2003.

Amenability 2

Theorem

Let G be compact with \widehat{G} amenable. Then G is coamenable.

Proof.

See Tomatsu, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 2006 (or for Kac algebras, Ruan, JFA, 1996). □

Open outside the compact/discrete case.

Cohomological condition: biprojectivity

Definition

A Banach algebra A is *biprojective* if the multiplication map $\Delta_* : A \widehat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A$ has a right inverse which is an A -bimodule map: i.e. $\rho : A \rightarrow A \widehat{\otimes} A$ with $\Delta_* \circ \rho = \text{id}_A$.

Can also ask in the category of operator spaces.

Theorem (Helemskii)

A is amenable if and only if it has a bounded approximate identity and is biflat (\Leftarrow biprojective).

Theorem (Ruan/Xu, Aristov)

If $L^1(\mathbb{G})$ is operator biprojective then \mathbb{G} is compact. If \mathbb{G} is compact of Kac type, then $L^1(\mathbb{G})$ is operator biprojective.

Theorem (Caspers–Lee–Ricard)

If $L^1(\mathbb{G})$ is operator biprojective, then \mathbb{G} is compact of Kac type.

Proof: diagonalisation

Fix \mathbb{G} a compact quantum group.

- Have $u^\alpha \in M_{n_\alpha}(A) \cong A \otimes M_{n_\alpha}$ and associated “ F matrix” F^α .
- By a change of (orthonormal) basis of \mathbb{C}^{n_α} , say $u^\alpha \mapsto X^* u^\alpha X$, we can diagonalise F^α .
- Get strictly positive (λ_i^α) with $\sum_i \lambda_i^\alpha = \sum_i (\lambda_i^\alpha)^{-1} = m_\alpha$ the “quantum dimension”,

$$\varphi((u_{ij}^\beta)^* u_{kl}^\alpha) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{j,l} \delta_{k,i} \frac{1}{m_\alpha \lambda_i^\alpha}, \quad \varphi(u_{ij}^\beta (u_{kl}^\alpha)^*) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{j,l} \delta_{k,i} \frac{\lambda_j^\alpha}{m_\alpha}.$$

- Set $Q^\alpha = t(F^\alpha)^{-1}$ with t chosen so that $\text{Tr}(Q^\alpha) = \text{Tr}((Q^\alpha)^{-1}) = m_\alpha$.
- Cauchy-Schwarz:
$$n_\alpha = \sum_i (\lambda_i^\alpha)^{1/2} (\lambda_i^\alpha)^{-1/2} \leq \left(\sum_i \lambda_i^\alpha \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_i (\lambda_i^\alpha)^{-1} \right)^{1/2} = m_\alpha.$$
- So $n_\alpha = m_\alpha$ iff $\lambda_i^\alpha = 1$ iff \mathbb{G} is of Kac type.

Structure theory of splitting map

Suppose $\rho : L^1(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{G}) \widehat{\otimes} L^1(\mathbb{G})$ is a completely bounded splitting map, and set $\theta = \rho^* : L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.

Theorem (D.)

There exist matrices X^α with unit trace with

$$\theta(u_{ij}^\alpha \otimes u_{kl}^\beta) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} X_{j,k}^\alpha u_{il}^\alpha.$$

Caspers–Lee–Ricard showed this also works for biflatness (when θ is not assumed weak*-continuous).

Theorem (D.)

If θ is contractive (or completely positive), then \mathbb{G} is of Kac type.

General case

Theorem (Caspers–Lee–Ricard)

Always \mathbb{G} is of Kac type.

- $Q^\alpha \propto (F^\alpha)^{-1}$ is actually an intertwiner:

$$(u^\alpha)^t(1 \otimes \overline{Q^\alpha})\overline{u^\alpha} = 1 \otimes \overline{Q^\alpha}.$$

- Drop the “ $1 \otimes$ ” and regard \mathbb{M}_n as a subalgebra of $\mathbb{M}_n(A)$.
- Q^α is diagonal with positive entries.
- Hence $\|(Q^\alpha)^{-1/2}(u^\alpha)^t(Q^\alpha)^{1/2}\| = \|(Q^\alpha)^{-1/2}(u^\alpha)^t Q^\alpha \overline{u^\alpha} (Q^\alpha)^{-1/2}\|^{1/2} = 1$.

$$(Q^\alpha)^{-1/2}(u^\alpha)^t(Q^\alpha)^{1/2} = \sum_{i,j} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j^\alpha}{\lambda_i^\alpha}} u_{ji}^\alpha \otimes e_{ij}.$$

Step II

Using that $M_n(L^\infty) \overline{\otimes} M_n(L^\infty) \cong M_n \otimes M_n \otimes L^\infty \overline{\otimes} L^\infty$ and that u^α unitary,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \|(Q^\alpha)^{-1/2} (u^\alpha)^t (Q^\alpha)^{1/2} \otimes u^\alpha\| \\ &= \left\| \sum e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl} \otimes \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j^\alpha}{\lambda_i^\alpha}} u_{ji}^\alpha \otimes u_{kl}^\alpha \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then apply $\theta : u_{ij}^\alpha \otimes u_{kl}^\alpha \mapsto X_{j,k}^\alpha u_{il}^\alpha$ to get

$$\sum e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl} \otimes \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j^\alpha}{\lambda_i^\alpha}} X_{ik}^\alpha u_{jl}^\alpha.$$

Then norm of this is $\leq \|\theta\|_{cb}$ so the aim is to bound $\|\theta\|_{cb}$ below.

Row/Column spaces

- Recall that C_n is the n -dim column Hilbert space, and R_n the row space.
- For an operator space $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes x_i \right\|_{C_n \otimes E} = \left\| \sum x_i^* x_i \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes x_i \right\|_{R_n \otimes E} = \left\| \sum x_i x_i^* \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}.$$

- Then $\mathbb{M}_n \cong C_n \otimes R_n$ via $e_{ij} \leftrightarrow e_i \otimes e_j$.
- All tensor products are minimal/spacial Operator Space ones.
- $C_n \otimes C_m = C_{n \times m}$ and $R_n \otimes R_m = R_{n \times m}$.

Apply this

$$\sum e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl} \otimes \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j^\alpha}{\lambda_i^\alpha}} X_{ik}^\alpha u_{jl}^\alpha \rightsquigarrow \left(\sum_{i,k} \frac{X_{ik}^\alpha}{\sqrt{\lambda_i^\alpha}} e_i \otimes e_k \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{j,l} e_j \otimes e_l \otimes \sqrt{\lambda_j^\alpha} u_{jl}^\alpha \right)$$
$$\in M_n \otimes M_n \otimes L^\infty \cong C_n \otimes R_n \otimes C_n \otimes R_n \otimes L^\infty \rightsquigarrow (C_n \otimes C_n) \otimes (R_n \otimes R_n \otimes L^\infty).$$

- All minimal tensor products, so “shuffle” is a complete isometry.
- 1st part in C_{n^2} with norm

$$\left(\sum_{i,k} \frac{|X_{ik}^\alpha|^2}{\lambda_i^\alpha} \right)^{1/2}.$$

- 2nd part in $R_{n^2} \otimes L^\infty$ with norm (as u^α unitary)

$$\left\| \sum_{j,l} \lambda_j^\alpha u_{jl}^\alpha (u_{jl}^\alpha)^* \right\|^{1/2} = \left\| \sum_j \lambda_j^\alpha 1 \right\|^{1/2} = \left(\sum_j \lambda_j^\alpha \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{m_\alpha}.$$

First bound

$$\|\theta\|_{cb} \geq \left(\sum_{i,k} \frac{|X_{ik}^\alpha|^2}{\lambda_i^\alpha} \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{m_\alpha} \geq \left(\sum_i \frac{|X_{ii}^\alpha|^2}{\lambda_i^\alpha} \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{m_\alpha}.$$

Now swap things around:

$$1 = \|u^\alpha \otimes (Q^\alpha)^{-1/2} (u^\alpha)^t (Q^\alpha)^{1/2}\| = \left\| \sum e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl} \otimes u_{ij}^\alpha \otimes \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_l^\alpha}{\lambda_k^\alpha}} u_{lk}^\alpha \right\|.$$

Applying θ we get

$$\sum \sum e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl} \otimes u_{ik}^\alpha X_{jl}^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_l^\alpha}{\lambda_k^\alpha}} \rightsquigarrow \left(\sum_{i,k} e_i \otimes e_k \otimes u_{ik}^\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k^\alpha}} \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{j,l} e_j \otimes e_l \otimes X_{jl}^\alpha \sqrt{\lambda_l^\alpha} \right)$$

in $(C_n \otimes C_n \otimes L^\infty) \otimes (R_n \otimes R_n)$.

Second bound

Repeat the argument (and use intertwining relations again) to get:

$$\|\theta\|_{cb} \geq \left(\sum_i |X_{ii}^\alpha|^2 \lambda_i^\alpha \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{m_\alpha}.$$

Then

$$m_\alpha \sum_i |X_{ii}^\alpha|^2 \leq \left(m_\alpha \sum_i \frac{|X_{ii}^\alpha|^2}{\lambda_i^\alpha} \right)^{1/2} \left(m_\alpha \sum_i |X_{ii}^\alpha|^2 \lambda_i^\alpha \right)^{1/2} \leq \|\theta\|_{cb}^2$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz. Again by C.-S.

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} X_{ii}^\alpha \leq \sqrt{n_\alpha} \left(\sum_i |X_{ii}^\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

so conclude

$$\|\theta\|_{cb}^2 \geq \frac{m_\alpha}{n_\alpha}.$$

The trick

- If V is any finite-dimensional unitary corepresentation then can write V as a sum of irreducibles:

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^m u^{\alpha_i}.$$

- Then if $Q = \bigoplus Q^{\alpha_i}$ we have $V^t Q \bar{V} = Q$.
- Estimate from before gives:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(Q) = \sum_i \mathrm{Tr}(Q^{\alpha_i}) = \sum_i m_{\alpha_i} \leq \sum_i \|\theta\|_{cb}^2 n_{\alpha_i} = \|\theta\|_{cb}^2 \dim(V).$$

- Set $V = u^\alpha \oplus u^\alpha \oplus \cdots \oplus u^\alpha$ say d times.
- Fact: Q for V is equal to $(Q^\alpha)^{\otimes d}$.
- So $m_\alpha^d = \mathrm{Tr}(Q^\alpha)^d \leq \|\theta\|_{cb}^2 n_\alpha^d$.
- $d \rightarrow \infty$ implies $m_\alpha \leq n_\alpha$ so \mathbb{G} Kac.